Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/903,755	BORDER, JOHN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yasin M. Barqadle	2153
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Yasin M. Barqadle</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Craig L. Plastrik</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 January 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 22-28		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
,		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 101 issue regarding claims 22-28 were discussed. Applicant agreed to change the language of claims 22-28 as shown in the Examiner's amendment. Applicant further agreed to make the changes on page 57 of the specification as shwon in the Examiner's amendment.